## **REMARKS**

# Status of the Application

Claims 1-10 are the claims that have been examined in the application. Claims 1-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Billock et al. (U.S. 5,619,149).

By this Amendment, Applicant is amending claims 1 and 6 and adding new claims 11-15.

## **Preliminary Matters**

Applicant thanks the Examiner for considering and initialing the Information Disclosure Statements filed November 8, 2004 and January 26, 2005.

We would request the Examiner acknowledge Applicant's claim to foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119, as well as receipt of a certified copy of the priority document, which was filed January 22, 2002.

#### Specification Objection

The Examiner objects to the specification because page 12, line 1 discloses element 52 as a LCD, while according to FIG. 3, the element reference number should be 42.

Applicant has hereby correct the noted deficiency. Withdrawal of the objection is hereby requested.

## **Drawing Objection**

The Examiner object to the drawings because FIG. 7 includes an element S80, which does not appear in the specification.

Applicant has hereby corrected the noted deficiency. Withdrawal of the objection is hereby requested.

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 U.S. Application No. 10/051,197

## §102(b) Rejection

Claims 1-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Billock et al. (U.S. 5,619,149).

Claims 1 and 6, as amended, recite "simultaneously displays the summary video information for at least two or more of the plurality of the video data on a screen." Billock teaches that only a single preview or image of video data may be shown on a single screen. Therefore, Billock fails to teach simultaneously displaying the summary video information for more than one of the *plurality* of video data on a screen. Thus, claims 1 and 6 as amended are patentable over the applied art.

Claims 2-5 and 7-10 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency from claims 1 and 6.

## New Claims

Applicant is adding new claims 11-15. Claim 11 is patentable over the applied art, as Billock fails to teach or suggest "a preview encoder which provides a retrieving menu for the video title list to an external device connected to receive the video data and reprocesses the summary video information to be suitable for streaming thereof when a retrieving operation is requested through the retrieving menu." Claims 12-15 are patentable by virtue of their dependency from claim 11.

#### Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Attorney Docket No. Q66435

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 U.S. Application No. 10/051,197

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 38,551

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

washington office 23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: July 5, 2006